



Smt. Tej Kaur,
W/o Sh. Randhir Singh,
R/o #29, 2nd Floor, State Institute of
Health & Family Complex,
Phase-6, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
SAS Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellant Authority
O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab Police Headquarters
Sector-9A, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1099/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
31.08.2018	Nil	23.10.2018	Nil	19.03.2019

Present: Appellant- Smt.Tej Kaur;
Respondents- HC Parshotam Kumar;
HC Ravinder Singh, O/o SSP, Mohali.

ORDER:

The Commission had made the following observations on 16.07.2019:

“None is present on behalf of the respondents. The Commission takes a very serious view of the defiance of the respondents in the matter. The appellant has been made to run from pillar to post. This Commission had passed order on 15.05.2018, specifically directing the respondents to inform the appellant the outcome of his complaint. However, the appellant alleges that no communication, whatsoever, has been conveyed by the Police to her till today. The respondents are directed to throw light on the same, besides informing the appellant.”

The case has come up today. The appellant has sought the details of an FIR lodged and the consequential action having been taken by the police authorities. The

Contd...pg...2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1099/2019

respondents deny the registration of FIR. However, they admit that the action towards prevention of apprehension of breach of peace was taken. Be that as it is, the respondents are directed to provide a point wise reply along with the documents available on their record to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The respondents may note that no further opportunity shall be afforded.

To come up on **24.09.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

13.08.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jaswant Singh,
S/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh,
R/o Village-Gorsian Peeran,
Tehsil-Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

Sh. Kuldip Singh,
S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh,
R/o Village-Gorsian Peeran,
Tehsil-Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Nurmahal, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Jalandhar.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1640/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
28.01.2019	Nil	01.03.2019	Nil	01.05.2019

Present: Appellant- Sh. Kuldeep Singh, Proxy;
Respondents- None.

ORDER:

The following order was made on 09.07.2019:

“The information has reportedly been dispatched under registered cover by the respondents vide their letter dated 05.07.2019. The appellant denies having received it. Obviously, it should be in transit. The Commission hopes that the appellant will soon get it. The appellant may like to go through the information when it is received and report deficiency, if any.”

The case has come up today. None is present on behalf of respondents. The respondents have sent a reply with an endorsement to the Commission, which entails therein details of the expenses incurred by the Gram Panchayat. The appellant is keen to procure its details with a copy of measurement books. The respondents are advised to do the needful before the next date of hearing. The appellant also complains about the deficiency and

Contd...pg...2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1640/2019

incomplete submission of information with reference to the copies of the resolutions passed by it. The appellant should pinpoint missing resolutions, if any, which the respondents shall respond to by providing the complete information. The respondents are required to explain the delay in providing the information as well as their absence from the hearing.

To come up on **24.09.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

13.08.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Amarjit Singh,
S/o S. Ajmer Singh,
Village-Burj Hari Singh (Patti-Jhallian),
Tehsil-Raikot, District-Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Raikot, District-Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Raikot, District-Ludhiana.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1587/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
04.01.2018	Nil	28.03.2018	Nil	25.04.2019

Present: Appellant- Sh. Amarjit Singh.
Respondents- None.

ORDER:

The following interim order was passed on 09.07.2019:

“Feeling aggrieved for having not received the information against his application dated 04.01.2018 and filing 1st appeal, the appellant has been constrained to file second appeal with the Commission. The Commission has gone through the application. He intends to seek elaborate information about the activities of the Gram Panchayat ranging from the period 2013 to 2018. The Commission feels that it shall be a massive record and its transmission in such quantum may erode the resources of the public authority. The Commission directs the PIO to allow him inspection of the record on a pre-fixed date and provide him certified copies of the document so identified by him upto an extent of 50 pages only.

The appellant may like to justify the demand in public interest beyond the above stipulated number. Meanwhile the respondents shall explain their conduct in writing for the inordinate delay in providing the information.”

The case has come up today. The respondents are neither present nor any communication about compliance of the order has been made. The appellant reiterates that

Contd...pg...2



-2-

Appeal Case No.1587/2019

respondents have refused to provide access to the record as advised by the Commission. The Commission understands that the respondents have violated the provisions of section 7(1) of RTI Act, 2005. The BDPO, Raikot is hereby issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on him for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Complainant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.

In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20 (1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.

To come up on **24.09.2019 at 11.30 AM.**

13.08.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Balraj Singh,
S/o Sh. Balwant Singh,
R/o Village-Bish Nandi,
Tehsil-Jaiton, Distt. Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Jaiton, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Faridkot.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.1634/2019

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
01.02.2019	Nil	12.03.2019	Nil	30.04.2019

Present: Appellant- None;
Respondents- None.

ORDER:

The following order was made on 09.07.2019:

“Both the parties are present. The respondent says that the information has been sent to the appellant by post. The appellant denies having received it. The Commission finds that it has only been despatched yesterday. It should be in transit. The appellant may like to go through on its receipt and report deficiencies, if any.”

None is present. The Commission presumes that the appellant is satisfied with the information sent to him. No further intervention seemingly is called for. The appeal is disposed.

13.08.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**